

Derivatives of isotropic positive definite functions on spheres

Mara Trübner* Johanna F. Ziegel†

March 23, 2016

Abstract

We show that isotropic positive definite functions on the d -dimensional sphere which are $2k$ times differentiable at zero have $2k + [(d-1)/2]$ continuous derivatives on $(0, \pi)$. This result is analogous to the result for radial positive definite functions on Euclidean spaces. We prove optimality of the result for all odd dimensions. The proof relies on *montée*, *descente* and *turning bands* operators on spheres which parallel the corresponding operators originating in the work of Matheron for radial positive definite functions on Euclidean spaces.

1 Introduction

Isotropic positive definite functions on spheres are important in statistics, where they occur as correlation functions of homogeneous random fields on spheres or of star shaped random particles. They are also used in approximation theory as radial basis functions for interpolating scattered data on spherical domains. Gneiting (2013) recently provided a comprehensive overview of general properties and examples of parametric families of isotropic positive definite functions on spheres, and gives references to application examples.

For an integer $d \geq 1$, let $\mathbb{S}^d = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \|x\| = 1\}$ denote the d -dimensional unit sphere. A function $g : \mathbb{S}^d \times \mathbb{S}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *positive definite* if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i c_j g(x_i, x_j) \geq 0$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{S}^d$ and $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$. It is *strictly* positive definite if equality implies $c_1 = \dots = c_n = 0$. The function $g : \mathbb{S}^d \times \mathbb{S}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *isotropic* if there exists a function $\psi : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$g(x, y) = \psi(\theta(x, y)) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{S}^d, \quad (1)$$

where $\theta(x, y) = \arccos(\langle x, y \rangle)$ is the great circle or geodesic distance on \mathbb{S}^d , and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the standard scalar product on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} .

*University of Bern, Institute of Mathematical Statistics and Actuarial Science, Sidlerstrasse 5, 3012 Bern, Switzerland, e-mail: mara.truebner@hotmail.com

†University of Bern, Institute of Mathematical Statistics and Actuarial Science, Sidlerstrasse 5, 3012 Bern, Switzerland, e-mail: johanna.ziegel@stat.unibe.ch

Let Ψ_d and $\Psi_d^+ \subseteq \Psi_d$ denote the classes of continuous functions $\psi : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\psi(0) = 1$ such that the associated isotropic function g in (1) is positive definite or strictly positive definite, respectively. They are nonincreasing in d , $\Psi_1 \supseteq \Psi_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Psi_\infty := \bigcap_{d=1}^\infty \Psi_d$, with the inclusions being strict (Gneiting, 2013, Corollary 1). We set $\Psi_\infty^+ := \bigcap_{d=1}^\infty \Psi_d^+$.

Theorem 1.2 in Ziegel (2014) shows that the functions in Ψ_d admit a continuous derivative of order $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ on the open interval $(0, \pi)$. This result is analogous to the Euclidean case where it has long been known that radial positive definite functions on \mathbb{R}^d admit $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ continuous derivatives on $(0, \infty)$ (Schoenberg, 1938).

Analogously to the Euclidean case, an extension of ψ to $[-\pi, \pi]$ is given by its even continuation $\psi(|\theta|)$. So by saying that ψ is $2k$ times differentiable at zero, we mean that its even continuation $\psi(|\theta|)$, $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$, has $2k$ derivatives at $\theta = 0$. Thus, if $\psi''(0)$ exists, then $\psi'(0) = 0$. This convention is valid throughout the article.

Gaspari and Cohn (1999, Theorem 2.14) demonstrate that if $k \geq 0$ and $\psi \in \Psi_d$ is $2k$ times differentiable at zero, then ψ has $2k$ continuous derivatives on $(0, \pi)$. The analogous result in the Euclidean case can already be found in Yaglom (1987, p. 66). Gneiting (1999, Theorem 1) showed the following stronger result in the Euclidean case. If φ is a radial positive definite function on \mathbb{R}^d that is $2k$ times differentiable at zero, then φ has $2k + \lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ continuous derivatives on $(0, \infty)$. In this paper, we prove the analogous result on the sphere.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $k \geq 0$ and $\psi \in \Psi_d$ for $d \geq 1$. If ψ is $2k$ times differentiable at zero, then ψ has $2k + \lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ continuous derivatives on $(0, \pi)$.*

Gneiting (1999) showed that his result is optimal under the given assumptions using Matheron's montée operator (Matheron, 1965). The same is true for Theorem 1.1 if d is odd. As for the differentiability result of Ziegel (2014, Theorem 1.2), optimality of Theorem 1.1 for even dimensions d would follow immediately if a function $\psi \in \Psi_2$ with discontinuous derivative was available.

Beatson and zu Castell (2015) recently introduced a *montée* and *descente* operator on spheres in analogy to Matheron's montée and descente operators in the Euclidean case (Matheron, 1965). They use these operators to construct new families of strictly positive definite functions with local support on the sphere. Daley and Porcu (2014) have recently studied how Matheron's montée and descente operators act on the Schoenberg measure of a radial positive definite function on \mathbb{R}^d . Following their approach, we study the montée and descente operator on spheres and its operation on Schoenberg sequences which are the spherical analogue to Schoenberg measures. We use the montée operator to show optimality of Theorem 1.1. Complementary to the constructions of Beatson and zu Castell (2015), we show examples of how the descente operator can be used to obtain new families of strictly positive definite functions on spheres.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce notation and connect the differentiability of members of Ψ_d or Ψ_∞ at zero to moments of the Schoenberg sequences. In Section 3, we discuss the montée and descente operator on spheres. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 4. Some technical results are deferred to Section 5.

2 Preliminaries

The classes Ψ_d can be characterized in terms of Gegenbauer expansions. The Gegenbauer polynomials C_n^λ for $\lambda > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are defined by

$$\frac{1}{(1+r^2-2r\cos\theta)^\lambda} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n C_n^\lambda(\cos\theta), \quad \theta \in [0, \pi],$$

where $r \in (-1, 1)$. For $\lambda = 0$, we set $C_n^0(\cos\theta) = \cos(n\theta)$. Schoenberg (1942) characterized the functions ψ in Ψ_d as having a representation

$$\psi(\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n,d} \frac{C_n^{(d-1)/2}(\cos\theta)}{C_n^{(d-1)/2}(1)} \quad (2)$$

with non-negative coefficients $b_{n,d}$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n,d} = 1$. The sequence $(b_{n,d})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is called the *d-Schoenberg sequence* of ψ following Gneiting (2013). For $d \geq 2$, the functions in Ψ_d^+ have *d-Schoenberg sequences* with infinitely many even and infinitely many odd coefficients that are strictly positive (Chen et al., 2003). The functions in Ψ_1^+ have *1-Schoenberg sequences* such that for any two integers $0 \leq j \leq n$, there exists a $k \geq 0$ such that $b_{j+kn,1} > 0$ (Menegatto et al., 2006).

The class Ψ_∞ consists of the functions ψ of the form

$$\psi(\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n (\cos\theta)^n \quad \text{for } \theta \in [0, \pi], \quad (3)$$

with nonnegative coefficients b_n such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n = 1$. We call the sequence $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ the ∞ -Schoenberg sequence of ψ . The functions in Ψ_∞^+ have ∞ -Schoenberg sequences with infinitely many even and infinitely many odd strictly positive coefficients (Menegatto, 1994).

In the case of radial positive definite functions φ on \mathbb{R}^d , Gneiting (1999, Lemma 3) connects the existence of derivatives of (the even extension of) φ at the origin to the existence of moments of the *d-Schoenberg measure* of φ . The following Lemma shows that a similar statement holds in the spherical case with *d-Schoenberg measures* replaced by *d-Schoenberg sequences*.

Lemma 2.1. (a) Let $k \geq 1$. Suppose $\psi \in \Psi_d$ with *d-Schoenberg sequence* $(b_{n,d})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. Then, $\psi^{(2k)}(0)$ exists if and only if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n,d} n^{2k}$ converges.

(b) Let $k \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\psi \in \Psi_\infty$ with ∞ -Schoenberg sequence $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. Then $\psi^{(2k)}(0)$ exists if and only if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n n^k$ converges.

Proof. Let $\psi \in \Psi_d \subseteq \Psi_1$. By Yaglom (1987, p. 120) the 2π -periodic extension φ_1 of the function ψ is a radial positive definite function on \mathbb{R} . Its Schoenberg representation, as given in for example in Gneiting (1999, Eq. 1), is

$$\varphi_1(\theta) = \int_{[0, \infty)} \cos(u\theta) G_1(du) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n,1} \cos(n\theta) = \psi(\theta),$$

where G_1 is a probability measure on $[0, \infty)$. As φ_1 is 2π -periodic, G_1 has to be of the form $G_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n,1} \delta_n$ with $b_{n,1} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n,1} = 1$, where δ_x is the dirac

measure at x . By Gneiting (1999, Lemma 3), we have that $\varphi_1^{(2k)}(0)$ exists if and only if $\int_{[0,\infty)} u^{2k} G_1(du) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{2k} b_{n,1} < \infty$. Using the formulae in Proposition 5.1(a), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{2k} b_{n,1} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n)^{2k} b_{2n,1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n-1)^{2k} b_{2n-1,1} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n)^{2k} 2 \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_{2j,d} \kappa_d(2j, 2n) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n-1)^{2k} 2 \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_{2j-1,d} \kappa_d(2j-1, 2n-1) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{2j,d} 2 \sum_{n=1}^j (2n)^{2k} \kappa_d(2j, 2n) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{2j-1,d} 2 \sum_{n=1}^j (2n-1)^{2k} \kappa_d(2j-1, 2n-1). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.2 shows that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{2k} b_{n,1} < \infty$ if and only if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{2k} b_{n,d} < \infty$.

The proof of part (b) follows the lines of the proof of part (a) where we use the formulae in Proposition 5.1(b) instead of Proposition 5.1(a) and Lemma 5.4 instead of Lemma 5.2. \square

In contrast to the Euclidean case it is not immediate to give a formula for $\psi^{(2k)}(0)$ in terms of the d -Schoenberg sequence for all k . Differentiating (2) yields

$$\psi''(0) = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n+1,d} \frac{(n+1)(n+d)}{d} \quad (4)$$

if one of the conditions in Lemma 2.1(a) for $k=1$ is fulfilled. For $k=2$, we obtain

$$\psi^{(4)}(0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n+1,d} \frac{(n+1)(n+d)}{d} \left(1 + \frac{3n(n+d+1)}{d+2}\right).$$

If $\psi \in \Psi_{\infty}$, we obtain by differentiating (3)

$$\psi''(0) = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n+1,d} (n+1), \quad \psi^{(4)}(0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n+1,d} (n+1)(3n+1)$$

if one of the conditions in Lemma 2.1(b) is fulfilled for $k=1$ or $k=2$, respectively. We conjecture that the second part of Lemma 2.1 holds for all $k \geq 1$. In order to obtain the result, one would have to demonstrate the following conjecture, which we have only been able to check using Mathematica computer algebra for $k \in \{3, \dots, 15\}$.

Conjecture 2.2. *For $k \geq 1$, there is a constant $c(k) > 0$ such that, as $j \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$2^{-2j+1} \sum_{n=1}^j (2n)^{2k} \binom{2j}{j+n} \sim c(k) j^k, \quad 2^{2j} \sum_{n=1}^j (2n-1)^{2k} \binom{2j-1}{j+n-1} \sim c(k) j^k.$$

Remark 2.3. Let Ψ_d^c denote the class of the functions $\psi \in \Psi_d$ with $\psi(\theta) = 0$ for $\theta \geq c$, where $c \in (0, \pi]$ and such that $\psi''(0)$ exists. It is an open question to find

$$a_d^c = \inf_{\psi \in \Psi_d^c} [-\psi''(0)],$$

see Gneiting (2013, Appendix C: Problem 3). For $d = 1$ and $d = 3$ it is shown in Gneiting (2013) that

$$a_d^c \leq \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{4}{d} j_{(d-2)/2}^2, \quad (5)$$

where j_α denotes the first positive zero of the Bessel function J_α . Formula (4) yields the solution for $c = \pi$. Finding a_d^π is equivalent to find the minimum of $\sum_{n=0}^\infty b_{n,d} c_n$ with $b_{n,d} \geq 0$, and $c_n = n(n-1+d)/d$ under the conditions $\sum_{n=0}^\infty b_{n,d} = 1$ and $\sum_{n=0}^\infty (-1)^n b_{n,d} = 0$. The minimum is attained if $b_{0,d} = b_{1,d} = 1/2$ and $b_{n,d} = 0$ for $n \geq 2$, thus $a_d^\pi = 1/2$. For $d = 1$ the upper bound in (5) equals 1 and for $d = 3$ it is equal to $4/3$.

3 The montée and descente operator on spheres

Similar to Beatson and zu Castell (2015), we make the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Let $\psi : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

- (a) If $\int_0^\pi \sin(\beta) \psi(\beta) d\beta$ is finite and non-zero, then the operator I_S , called *montée on spheres*, is defined as

$$I_S \psi(\theta) := \frac{\int_0^\pi \sin(\beta) \psi(\beta) d\beta}{\int_0^\pi \sin(\beta) \psi(\beta) d\beta}, \quad \theta \in [0, \pi].$$

- (b) If ψ is differentiable and has a finite second derivative $\psi''(0) \neq 0$, then the operator D_S , called *descente on spheres*, is defined as

$$D_S \psi(\theta) := \frac{\psi'(\theta)}{\sin(\theta) \psi''(0)}, \quad \theta \in (0, \pi).$$

The montée and descente operator on spheres are inverse to each other under the following conditions which can be checked easily.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\psi : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

- (a) If ψ is continuously differentiable on $(0, \pi)$, $\psi(\pi) = 0$, $\psi(0) = 1$ and $|\psi''(0)| \in (0, \infty)$ then I_S inverts $D_S \psi$ to ψ .
- (b) If $\int_0^\pi \sin \beta \psi(\beta) d\beta$ is finite and non-zero and $\psi(0) = 1$, then $D_S(I_S \psi) = \psi$.

For functions $\psi \in \Psi_d$, the next propositions characterize when $I_S \psi$ and $D_S \psi$ belong to the function classes $\Psi_{d'}$ for $d' = d - 2$ or $d' = d + 2$, respectively.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\psi \in \Psi_d$ for $d \geq 3$ with d -Schoenberg sequence $(b_{n,d})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$.

- (a) The montée $I_S \psi$ of ψ is well-defined and $I_S \psi \in \Psi_{d-2}$, if and only if

$$c(d) := \sum_{n=0}^\infty b_{n,d} \frac{(-1)^n (d-2)}{(n+1)(n+d-2)} \geq 0. \quad (6)$$

In this case, $I_S \psi \in \Psi_{d-2}$ and has $(d-2)$ -Schoenberg sequence $(a_{n,d-2})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ with $a_{0,d-2} = c(d)/G_1(\mathbb{N}_0)$, $a_{n,d-2} = (d-2)b_{n-1,d}/(n(n+d-3)G_1(\mathbb{N}_0))$ for $n \geq 1$, where $G_1(\mathbb{N}_0) := 2(d-2) \sum_{n=0}^\infty b_{2n,d}/((2n+1)(2n+d-2))$.

(b) The condition (6) is equivalent to $\int_0^\pi f_d(\theta)\psi(\theta)d\theta \geq 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} f_d(\theta) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi}\theta \sin \theta - \cos \theta \sum_{\ell=1}^{(d-3)/2} (\sin \theta)^{2\ell} \Gamma(\ell)^2 2^{2\ell-2} / (\pi \Gamma(2\ell)), & d \geq 3 \text{ odd}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta - \cos \theta \sum_{\ell=1}^{d/2-1} (\sin \theta)^{2\ell-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{2\ell-1}{2}\right)^2 2^{2\ell-3} / (\pi \Gamma(2\ell-1)), & d \geq 4 \text{ even}, \end{cases} \\ &= \sin \theta \mathbb{1}\{\theta > \pi/2\} + \frac{2^{d-3} \Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)^2}{\pi \Gamma(d-1)} \cos \theta (\sin \theta)^{d-1} {}_2F_1\left(1, \frac{d-1}{2}, \frac{d}{2}, (\sin \theta)^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

(c) A sufficient condition for (6) to hold is that $\psi \geq 0$.

Proof. For $d \geq 4$, using that $C_n^\lambda(1) = \Gamma(n+2\lambda)/(n!\Gamma(2\lambda))$ (DLMF, 2015, 18.6.1) and DLMF (2015, 18.9.19), we obtain with Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\theta^\pi \sin \beta \psi(\beta) d\beta &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n,d}}{C_n^{(d-1)/2}(1)} \frac{1}{(d-3)} (C_{n+1}^{(d-3)/2}(\cos \theta) - (-1)^{(n+1)} C_{n+1}^{(d-3)/2}(1)) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n,d}(-1)^n(d-2)}{(n+1)(n+d-2)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n,d}(d-2)}{(n+1)(n+d-2)} \frac{C_{n+1}^{(d-3)/2}(\cos \theta)}{C_{n+1}^{(d-3)/2}(1)} \\ &= G_1(\mathbb{N}_0) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,d-2} \frac{C_n^{(d-3)/2}(\cos \theta)}{C_n^{(d-3)/2}(1)} \end{aligned}$$

with $a_{n,d-2}$ as indicated. The assumptions guarantee that $a_{n,d-2} \geq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, and it is easily checked that they sum to one because $G_1(\mathbb{N}_0) = \int_0^\pi \sin \beta \psi(\beta) d\beta$. This exhibits $I_S \psi$ as an element of Ψ_{d-2} . The case $d = 3$ is shown analogously.

To show part (b), we proceed inductively. For $d \geq 5$, we have by Gneiting (2013, Corollary 3)

$$\begin{aligned} c(d) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left(\frac{n+d-3}{(n+1)(2n+d-3)} b_{n,d-2} - \frac{n+2}{(n+d-2)(2n+d+1)} b_{n+2,d-2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n b_{n,d-2} \frac{d-4}{(n+1)(n+d-4)} - b_{1,d-2} \frac{1}{(d-3)(d-1)} \\ &= c(d-2) - b_{1,d-2} \frac{1}{(d-3)(d-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$c(d) = \begin{cases} c(3) - \sum_{\ell=1}^{(d-3)/2} b_{1,2\ell+1}/(4\ell(\ell+1)), & d \geq 5 \text{ odd}, \\ c(4) - \sum_{\ell=2}^{d/2-1} b_{1,2\ell}/((2\ell-1)(2\ell+1)), & d \geq 6 \text{ even}. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

As $C_1^{(d-1)/2}(\cos \theta) = (d-1) \cos(\theta)$, we obtain for $d \geq 2$,

$$b_{1,d} = \frac{(d+1)(d-1)}{2^{3-d}\pi} \frac{\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)\right)^2}{\Gamma(d-1)} \int_0^\pi \cos \theta (\sin \theta)^{d-1} \psi(\theta) d\theta. \quad (8)$$

Using Gneiting (2013, Corollary 3) we obtain for $d = 3$

$$\begin{aligned}
c(3) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n,3} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)^2} = b_{0,1} - \frac{1}{2}b_{2,1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} (b_{n,1} - b_{n+2,1}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} \int_0^{\pi} (\cos(n\theta) - \cos((n+2)\theta)) \psi(\theta) d\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \psi(\theta) d\theta - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \cos \theta \psi(\theta) d\theta \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=2}^N (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n-1} \right) \int_0^{\pi} \cos(n\theta) \psi(\theta) d\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \psi(\theta) d\theta - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \cos \theta \psi(\theta) d\theta - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n^2-1} \cos(n\theta) \psi(\theta) d\theta,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows by dominated convergence. We have for $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)$, $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \cos(n\theta)/(n^2-1) = 1/2 - (1/4) \cos \theta - \theta \sin \theta$, and hence

$$c(3) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n,3} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)^2} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \theta \sin \theta \psi(\theta) d\theta. \quad (9)$$

For $d = 4$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
c(4) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n,4} \frac{2(-1)^n}{(n+1)(n+2)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2n+1} b_{n,2} - \frac{1}{2n+5} b_{n+2,2} \right) \\
&= b_{0,2} - \frac{1}{3} b_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi} (1 - \cos \theta) \sin \theta \psi(\theta) d\theta. \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (7), (8) and (9), we obtain the claim for any odd $d \geq 3$, and for any even $d \geq 4$, it follows from (7), (8) and (10). Part (c) follows from Beatson and zu Castell (2015, Theorem 2.2). \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\psi \in \Psi_d$ for $d \geq 1$ with d -Schoenberg sequence $(b_{n,d})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. Suppose that $\psi \neq 1$.*

(a) *The descente $D_S \psi$ is well defined and $D_S \psi \in \Psi_{d+2}$, if and only if $G_2(\mathbb{N}_0) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n+1,d} (n+1)(n+d) < \infty$. In this case it has $(d+2)$ -Schoenberg sequence $(c_{n,d+2})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ with $c_{n,d+2} = b_{n+1,d} (n+1)(n+d)/G_2(\mathbb{N}_0)$.*

(b) *The condition $G_2(\mathbb{N}_0) < \infty$ in (a) is equivalent to $|\psi''(0)| < \infty$.*

Proof. Using the assumption $G_2(\mathbb{N}_0) < \infty$ and DLMF (2015, 18.9.19), we obtain

$$\psi'(\theta) = -\sin \theta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n+1,d} \frac{(n+1)(n+d)}{d} \frac{C_n^{(d+1)/2}(\cos \theta)}{C_n^{(d+1)/2}(1)},$$

which implies $\psi'(0) = \psi'(\pi) = 0$ and $\psi''(0) = -G_2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ by (4), hence the descente $D_S \psi$ is well-defined has the claimed $(d+2)$ -Schoenberg sequence. Part (b) is a consequence of Lemma 2.1. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\psi \in \Psi_\infty$ with ∞ -Schoenberg sequence $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$.*

(a) *The montée $I_S\psi$ is well defined and in Ψ_∞ , if and only if,*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} \geq 0. \quad (11)$$

It has ∞ -Schoenberg sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ with $a_n = b_{n-1}/(nG_1(\mathbb{N}_0))$ for $n \geq 1$ and $a_0 = (1/G_1(\mathbb{N}_0)) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n b_n/(n+1)$, where $G_1(\mathbb{N}_0) := 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{2n}/(2n+1)$.

(b) *The condition at (11) is equivalent to $\int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} \sin \theta \psi(\theta) d\theta \geq 0$.*

(c) *Let $\psi \neq 1$. The descente $D_S\psi$ is well defined and in Ψ_∞ , if and only if, $G_2(\mathbb{N}_0) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n+1}(n+1) < \infty$. It has ∞ -Schoenberg sequence $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ with $c_n = b_{n+1}(n+1)/G_2(\mathbb{N}_0)$.*

(d) *The condition $G_2(\mathbb{N}_0) < \infty$ in (c) is equivalent to $|\psi''(0)| < \infty$.*

Proof. The proofs of parts (a) and (c) are analogous to the proofs of Proposition 3.3(a) and Proposition 3.4(a), respectively. Part (b) follows because $\int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} \sin \theta \psi(\theta) d\theta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n (-1)^n/(n+1)$, and part (d) is a consequence of Lemma 2.1. \square

Remark 3.6. The formulae for the Schoenberg sequences under the montée and descente operators immediately imply that they preserve strict positive definiteness, in the sense that if $\psi \in \Psi_d^+$, then $D_S\psi \in \Psi_{d+2}^+$, and so forth, with one exception: If $\psi \in \Psi_3^+$, it may happen that $I_S\psi \in \Psi_1 \setminus \Psi_1^+$ as for members of Ψ_1 it is only a necessary but not a sufficient condition for strict positive definiteness that infinitely many even and infinitely many odd coefficients of the Schoenberg sequence are strictly positive (Menegatto et al., 2006).

In the following examples, we apply the descente on spheres to parametric families of positive definite functions. Beatson and zu Castell (2015) have applied the montée on spheres to parallel the construction of Wendland (1995) on the sphere.

Example 3.7. The Multiquadric family

$$\psi_{\tau,\delta}(\theta) = \frac{(1-\delta)^{2\tau}}{(1+\delta^2-2\delta \cos \theta)^\tau}$$

belongs to the class Ψ_∞^+ for $\tau > 0$ and $\delta \in (0,1)$ (Gneiting, 2013). One can check that the descente is applicable using the criterion in Proposition 3.5(d). We obtain

$$D_S\psi_{\tau,\delta}(\theta) = \frac{(1-\delta)^{2(\tau+1)}}{(1+\delta^2-2\delta \cos \theta)^{\tau+1}} = \psi_{\tau+1,\delta}(\theta),$$

hence the Multiquadric family is closed under application of the descente. For $\tau > 0$, we have $\psi_{\tau,\delta} \geq 0$. Applying the montée operator for $\tau \neq 1$ yields

$$I_S\psi_{\tau,\delta}(\theta) = \frac{\psi_{\tau-1,\delta}(\theta) - \left(\frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta}\right)^{2(\tau-1)}}{1 - \left(\frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta}\right)^{2(\tau-1)}} \in \Psi_\infty^+.$$

For $\tau > 1$, the above expression is just a translated and rescaled version of $\psi_{\tau-1,\delta}$ such that it vanishes at $\theta = \pi$. For $\tau \in (0, 1)$, the denominator is negative, therefore, $-\psi_{\tau-1,\delta}$ is positive definite on spheres of all dimensions. Finally, for $\tau = 1$,

$$I_S \psi_{1,\delta} = \frac{2 \log(1 + \delta) - \log(1 + \delta^2 - 2\delta \cos \theta)}{2 \log(1 + \delta) - 2 \log(1 - \delta)} \in \Psi_\infty^+.$$

Given a radial positive definite function φ on \mathbb{R}^3 , by the construction of Yadrenko (1983), one obtains a function $\psi \in \Psi_2^+$ by setting

$$\psi_1(\theta) = \varphi\left(2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right), \quad \theta \in [0, \pi]. \quad (12)$$

Alternatively, if $\varphi(t) = 0$ for $t \geq \pi$, Gneiting (2013, Theorem 3) shows that $\psi_2 = \varphi|_{[0,\pi]} \in \Psi_3^+$. Suppose that $\varphi''(0)$ exists and does not vanish. Then, $\psi_1''(0) = \psi_2''(0) = \varphi''(0)$. We can apply the descent operator to both, ψ_1 and ψ_2 , and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_S \psi_1(\theta) &= \frac{1}{\varphi''(0) 2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2}} \varphi' \left(2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \in \Psi_4^+, \\ D_S \psi_2(\theta) &= \frac{1}{\varphi''(0) \sin \theta} \varphi'(\theta) \in \Psi_5^+. \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.8. The Gaspari and Cohn (1999) function φ_{GC} is defined by

$$\varphi_{GC}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{20}{3}t^2 + 5t^3 + 8t^4 - 8t^5, & t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ \frac{1}{3}t^{-1}(8t^2 + 8t - 1)(1 - t)^4, & t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1], \\ 0, & t \in [1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

For all $c > 0$, the function $\varphi_c(t) = \varphi_{GC}(t/c)$ is a radial positive definite function on \mathbb{R}^3 . We have $\varphi_c''(0) = -40/(3c^2)$. Applying the construction at (12) to $\varphi_{GC}(\cdot/(2 \sin(c/2)))$ with $c \in (0, \pi]$ yields a compactly supported member $\psi_{1,c}$ of Ψ_2^+ with support $[0, c]$; see Gneiting (2013, Eq. 30). Applying the descent to $\psi_{1,c}$ and $\psi_{2,c} = \varphi_c|_{[0,\pi]}$ yields

$$D\psi_{1,c}(\theta) = \tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{\sin \frac{\theta}{2}}{\sin \frac{c}{2}}\right) \in \Psi_4^+, \quad D_S \psi_{2,c}(\theta) = \frac{\theta}{\sin \theta} \tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{\theta}{c}\right) \in \Psi_5^+,$$

where

$$\tilde{\varphi}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{9}{8}t - \frac{12}{5}t^2 + 3t^3, & \theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ \frac{1}{40}(-1 - 3t + 24t^2 + 40t^3)\left(\frac{1}{t} - 1\right)^3, & \theta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1], \\ 0, & \theta \in [1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Example 3.9. The C_2 -Wendland function (Wendland, 1995) given by

$$\psi(\theta) = \left(1 + \tau \frac{\theta}{c}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\theta}{c}\right)_+^\tau, \quad \theta \in [0, \pi],$$

is in Ψ_3^+ for $c \in (0, \pi)$ and $\tau \geq 4$ (Gneiting, 2013). One can check that $\psi''(0) = -\tau(1 + \tau)/c^2$ and

$$D\psi(\theta) = \frac{\theta}{\sin \theta} \left(1 - \frac{\theta}{c}\right)_+^{\tau-1} \in \Psi_5^+.$$

For the C_4 -Wendland function, given by

$$\psi(\theta) = \left(1 + \tau \frac{\theta}{c} + \frac{\tau^2 - 1}{3} \frac{\theta^2}{c^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\theta}{c}\right)_+^\tau, \quad \theta \in [0, \pi],$$

which is in Ψ_3^+ for $c \in (0, \pi)$, $\tau \geq 6$, see Gneiting (2013), we obtain

$$D\psi(\theta) = \frac{\theta}{\sin \theta} \left(1 + (\tau - 1) \frac{\theta}{c}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\theta}{c}\right)_+^{\tau-1} \in \Psi_5^+.$$

To conclude this section we show the following result on the differentiability of the montée. It allows to demonstrate optimality of Theorem 1.1; see Section 4.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $k \geq 0$ and $d \geq 3$. If $\psi \in \Psi_d$ fulfills the condition of Proposition 3.3(b) and is $2k$ times differentiable at zero, then $I_S\psi \in \Psi_{d-2}$ is $2k + 2$ times differentiable at zero.*

Inductively, we can express $(I_S\psi)^{(j)}$ for $j \geq 1$ in terms of the derivatives of ψ .

$$\begin{aligned} (I_S\psi)^{(j)}(\theta) = \frac{-1}{\int_0^\pi \sin \beta \psi(\beta) d\beta} & \left(\sin \theta \sum_{\substack{\ell=0 \\ \ell \text{ even}}}^{j-1} \binom{j-1}{\ell} (-1)^{[\ell/2]} \psi^{(j-1-\ell)}(\theta) \right. \\ & \left. + \cos \theta \sum_{\substack{\ell=0 \\ \ell \text{ odd}}}^{j-1} \binom{j-1}{\ell} (-1)^{[\ell/2]} \psi^{(j-1-\ell)}(\theta) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Proof of Lemma 3.10. If ψ is $2k$ times differentiable at zero, it has $2k$ continuous derivatives on $(0, \pi)$ by Gaspari and Cohn (1999, Theorem 2.14). By (13), $I_S\psi$ is then $(2k + 1)$ times differentiable on $(0, \pi)$ and $I_S\psi(|\theta|)$ is $(2k + 1)$ times differentiable at zero. Since odd order derivatives of $I_S\psi(|\theta|)$ are odd functions of a real argument, all the odd order derivatives of $I_S\psi(|\theta|)$ vanish at $\theta = 0$. Thus, using (13) and l'Hôpital's rule,

$$\begin{aligned} (I_S\psi)^{(2k+2)}(0) &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{(I_S\psi)^{(2k+1)}(\theta)}{\sin \theta} \\ &= \frac{-1}{\int_0^\pi \sin \beta \psi(\beta) d\beta} \left((-1)^k \psi(0) + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} (-1)^\ell \left(\binom{2k}{2\ell} + \binom{2k}{2\ell+1} \right) \psi^{(2k-2\ell)}(0) \right) \\ &= \frac{-1}{\int_0^\pi \sin \beta \psi(\beta) d\beta} \sum_{\ell=0}^k (-1)^\ell \binom{2k+1}{2\ell+1} \psi^{(2k-2\ell)}(0). \end{aligned}$$

□

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In Ziegel (2014), a *turning bands operator* for isotropic positive definite functions on spheres is introduced. It is analogous to the Euclidean case, where the turning bands operator originates in the work of Matheron (1972). For a sequence $\beta = (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of real numbers and an integer k , we define the sequence $\beta \circ \tau_k$ as follows. If $k > 0$ we set $(\beta \circ \tau_k)_n = 0$ for $n < k$ and $(\beta \circ \tau_k)_n = \beta_{n-k}$ for $n \geq k$. If $k \leq 0$ we put

$(\beta \circ \tau_k)_n = \beta_{n-k}$ for all $n \geq 0$. For a summable sequence $\beta = (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of nonnegative numbers β_n we define $\psi_d(\beta, \theta)$ for $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ as

$$\psi_d(\beta, \theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \frac{C_n^{(d-1)/2}(\cos \theta)}{C_n^{(d-1)/2}(1)}.$$

Ziegel (2014, Proposition 4.4) shows that, for all $\theta \in [0, \pi]$,

$$\psi_d(\beta, \theta) = \beta_0 + \cos \theta \psi_{d+2}(\beta \circ \tau_{-1}, \theta) + \frac{1}{d} \sin \theta \psi'_{d+2}(\beta \circ \tau_{-1}, \theta), \quad (14)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{d} (\sin \theta)^d \psi_{d+2}(\beta \circ \tau_{-1}, \theta) = \int_0^\theta (\sin r)^{d-1} (\psi_d(\beta, r) - \beta_0) dr. \quad (15)$$

Remark 4.1. The turning bands operator at (14) shows that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the members of Ψ_{d+2} and the members ψ of Ψ_d with $b_{0,d} = 0$, that is, $\int_0^\pi (\sin \theta)^{d-1} \psi(\theta) d\theta = 0$. In particular, if $\psi_{d+2} \in \Psi_{d+2}$ is compactly supported, then so is the corresponding $\psi_d \in \Psi_d$ associated via (14) with $b_{0,d} = 0$. While we are not making use of these facts for the results of this paper, it may be an interesting way to characterize the set $\Psi_d \setminus \Psi_{d+2}$ in terms of Schoenberg sequences.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $\psi \in \Psi_d$ is $2k$ times differentiable at zero with d -Schoenberg sequence $b = (b_{n,d})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. Applying the turning bands operator at (14) $[(d-1)/2]$ times yields an element $\bar{\psi} \in \Psi_1$ or $\bar{\psi} \in \Psi_2$ with d -Schoenberg sequence $\bar{b} = b \circ \tau_{[(d-1)/2]}$. By Lemma 2.1, $\bar{\psi}$ is $2k$ times differentiable at zero. By Gaspari and Cohn (1999, Theorem 2.14), $\bar{\psi}$ has $2k$ continuous derivatives on $(0, \pi)$. By (14), ψ has $[(d-1)/2]$ more continuous derivatives than $\bar{\psi}$ on $(0, \pi)$. \square

To show optimality of Theorem 1.1 for odd $d \geq 1$, we provide an element of Ψ_d which is $2k$ times differentiable at zero but whose derivative of order $2k + [(d-1)/2] + 1$ does not exist on $(0, \pi)$.

Let $c \in (0, \pi)$. Then the function $\psi(\theta) = (1 - \theta/c)_+$ belongs to Ψ_1 . Its first derivative does not exist at $\theta = c$. Let b be the 1-Schoenberg sequence of ψ . Applying (15) $(d' - 1)/2$ times, we find that the derivative of order $1 + (d' - 1)/2$ of $\psi_{d'}(b \circ \tau_{-(d'-1)/2}, \cdot)$ does not exist at the point $\theta = c$ and

$$\bar{\psi} := \frac{\psi_{d'}(b \circ \tau_{-(d'-1)/2}, \cdot) + C}{\psi_{d'}(b \circ \tau_{-(d'-1)/2}, 0) + C} \in \Psi_{d'},$$

where C is a constant such that $\psi_{d'}(b \circ \tau_{-(d'-1)/2}, \cdot) + C \geq 0$ on $[0, \pi]$. Then $(I_S)^k \bar{\psi} \in \Psi_{d'-2k}$ is $2k$ times differentiable at zero by Lemma 3.10. By (13), it follows that the derivative of order $1 + (d' - 1)/2 + k$ of $(I_S)^k \bar{\psi}$ does not exist at $\theta = c$. Choosing $d + 2k = d'$, we obtain a function with the desired property.

5 Technical results

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\psi \in \Psi_d \subseteq \Psi_1$ with Schoenberg sequences $(b_{n,d})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and $(b_{n,1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. Then, for $d \geq 2$, we obtain $b_{0,1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{2j,d} \kappa_d(2j, 0)$ and for $n \geq 1$*

$$b_{2n,1} = 2 \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_{2j,d} \kappa_d(2j, 2n), \quad b_{2n-1,1} = 2 \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_{2j-1,d} \kappa_d(2j-1, 2n-1)$$

with

$$\kappa_d(j, n) := \frac{\Gamma(d-1)}{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})^2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d-1+j-n}{2})\Gamma(\frac{d-1+j+n}{2})j!}{\Gamma(\frac{j-n+2}{2})\Gamma(\frac{j+n+2}{2})\Gamma(d-1+j)}.$$

If $\psi \in \Psi_\infty \subseteq \Psi_1$ with Schoenberg sequences $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and $(b_{n,1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, then $b_{0,1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{2j} \tau(2j, 0)$ and for $n \geq 1$, we have

$$b_{2n,1} = 2 \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_{2j} \tau(2j, 2n), \quad b_{2n-1,1} = 2 \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_{2j-1} \tau(2j-1, 2n-1),$$

where $\tau(j, n) := 2^{-j} j! / (\Gamma((j-n+2)/2) \Gamma((j+n+2)/2))$.

Proof. Let ψ in $\Psi_d \subseteq \Psi_1$. With DLMF (2015, 18.5.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\theta) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n,1} \cos(n\theta) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{j,d} \frac{C_j^{(d-1)/2}(\cos \theta)}{C_j^{(d-1)/2}(1)} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{j,d} \sum_{l=0}^j \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2} + l) \Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2} + j - l)}{l!(j-l)! \Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})^2} \frac{j! \Gamma(d-1)}{\Gamma(d-1+j)} \cos((j-2l)\theta) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{j,d} \sum_{\substack{m=-j \\ m+j \text{ even}}}^j \kappa_d(j, m) \cos(m\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Using that $\kappa_d(j, -m) \cos(-m\theta) = \kappa_d(j, m) \cos(m\theta)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\theta) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{2j,d} (\kappa_d(2j, 0) + 2 \sum_{m=1}^j \kappa_d(2j, 2m) \cos(2m\theta)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{2j-1,d} 2 \sum_{m=1}^j \kappa_d(2j-1, 2m-1) \cos((2m-1)\theta) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{2j,d} \kappa_d(2j, 0) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \cos(2m\theta) 2 \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} b_{2j,d} \kappa_d(2j, 2m) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \cos((2m-1)\theta) 2 \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} b_{2j-1,d} \kappa_d(2j-1, 2m-1). \end{aligned}$$

For $\psi \in \Psi_\infty \subseteq \Psi_1$, the claim follows analogously using (3),

$$(\cos \theta)^j = \sum_{l=0}^j \frac{j!}{l!(j-l)!} \cos((j-2l)\theta),$$

and $\tau(j, -m) \cos(-m\theta) = \tau(j, m) \cos(m\theta)$. □

Lemma 5.2. For $d \geq 2$ and $\ell \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{n=1}^j (2n)^\ell \kappa_d(2j, 2n) &\sim c_d(\ell) j^\ell, \\ 2 \sum_{n=1}^j (2n-1)^\ell \kappa_d(2j-1, 2n-1) &\sim c_d(\ell) j^\ell, \end{aligned}$$

where \sim means that the sequences are asymptotically equivalent as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Here, $c_d(\ell)$ is defined recursively by

$$c_{d+2}(\ell) = \frac{d}{d-1} \left(c_d(\ell) - \frac{1}{4} c_d(\ell+2) \right),$$

with $c_2(\ell) = 2^\ell \Gamma((\ell+1)/2) / \Gamma(\ell/2+1)$ and $c_3(\ell) = 2^\ell / (\ell+1)$.

Proof. For $d \geq 2$ and $j, n \geq 1$, it is easy to check that

$$\kappa_{d+2}(2j, 2n) = \frac{d}{d-1} \left(\frac{d-1+2j}{d+2j} - \frac{(2n)^2}{(d-1+2j)(d+2j)} \right) \kappa_d(2j, 2n), \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa_{d+2}(2j-1, 2n-1) \\ &= \frac{d}{d-1} \left(\frac{d-2+2j}{d-1+2j} - \frac{(2n-1)^2}{(d-2+2j)(d-1+2j)} \right) \kappa_d(2j-1, 2n-1). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

For $d = 2$, the claim is shown in Lemma 5.3. For $d = 3$, using Faulhaber's formula, we have as $j \rightarrow \infty$

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^j (2n)^\ell \kappa_3(2j, 2n) = \frac{2}{2j+1} \sum_{n=1}^j (2n)^\ell \sim \frac{2^\ell}{\ell+1} j^\ell,$$

and also

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^j (2n-1)^\ell \kappa_3(2j-1, 2n-1) = \frac{2}{2j} \sum_{n=1}^j (2n-1)^\ell \sim \frac{2^\ell}{\ell+1} j^\ell.$$

We will show the claims inductively over d . By (16) we have as $j \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{n=1}^j (2n)^\ell \kappa_{d+2}(2j, 2n) &= \frac{d}{d-1} \left(\frac{d-1+2j}{d+2j} 2 \sum_{n=1}^j (2n)^\ell \kappa_d(2j, 2n) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{(d-1+2j)(d+2j)} 2 \sum_{n=1}^j (2n)^{\ell+2} \kappa_d(2j, 2n) \right) \\ &\sim \frac{d}{d-1} \left(\frac{d-1+2j}{d+2j} c_d(\ell) j^\ell - \frac{1}{(d-1+2j)(d+2j)} c_d(\ell+2) j^{\ell+2} \right) \\ &\sim j^\ell \frac{d}{d-1} \left(c_d(\ell) - \frac{1}{4} c_d(\ell+2) \right) = c_{d+2}(\ell) j^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

The second claim follows with the same steps using (17) instead of (16). \square

Lemma 5.3. For $\ell \geq 0$, we have as $j \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{n=1}^j (2n)^\ell \kappa_2(2j, 2n) &\sim 2^\ell \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\ell}{2} + \frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{\ell}{2} + 1)} j^\ell, \\ 2 \sum_{n=1}^j (2n-1)^\ell \kappa_2(2j-1, 2n-1) &\sim 2^\ell \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\ell}{2} + \frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{\ell}{2} + 1)} j^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We obtain with Gautschi's inequality (DLMF, 2015, 5.6.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{j^\ell} 2 \sum_{n=1}^j (2n)^\ell \kappa_2(2j, 2n) &= \frac{2^{\ell+1}}{\pi} \frac{1}{j^\ell} \sum_{n=1}^j n^\ell \frac{\Gamma(j-n+1/2)\Gamma(j+n+1/2)}{\Gamma(j-n+1)\Gamma(j+n+1)} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{\ell+1}}{\pi} \frac{1}{j^\ell} \sum_{n=1}^{j-1} \frac{n^\ell}{\sqrt{j^2-n^2}} + \frac{2^{\ell+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(2j+1/2)}{\Gamma(2j+1)} \\ &= \frac{2^{\ell+1}}{\pi} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{n=1}^{j-1} \frac{\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)^\ell}{\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)^2}} + \frac{2^{\ell+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(2j+1/2)}{\Gamma(2j+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Gautschi's inequality again, it follows that the last term in the above expression vanishes as $j \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore, Gautschi's inequality also yields

$$\frac{1}{j^\ell} 2 \sum_{n=1}^j (2n)^\ell \kappa_2(2j, 2n) \geq \frac{2^{\ell+1}}{\pi} \frac{(j+1)^{\ell-1}}{j^\ell} \sum_{n=1}^j \frac{\left(\frac{n}{j+1}\right)^\ell}{\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{n}{j+1}\right)^2}}.$$

Let $f(x) := x^\ell / \sqrt{1-x^2}$. By the Euler Maclaurin formula, we have

$$\frac{1}{j} \sum_{n=1}^{j-1} f\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = \int_0^{1-1/j} f(x) dx + \frac{1}{2j} \left(f\left(\frac{j-1}{j}\right) - f(0) \right) + R_j,$$

where, for some constant $C > 0$,

$$|R_j| \leq \frac{C}{j^3} \int_0^{j-1} \left| f''\left(\frac{x}{j}\right) \right| dx = \frac{C}{j^2} \int_0^{1-1/j} |f''(x)| dx.$$

Some calculus using l'Hôpital's rule shows that the right-hand side of the above expression converges to zero as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, $f((j-1)/j)/j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\int_0^{1-1/j} f(x) dx \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2})}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + 1)}, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

The second claim follows from the inequalities

$$\sum_{n=1}^{j-1} (2n)^\ell \kappa_2(2j, 2n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^j (2n-1)^\ell \kappa_2(2j-1, 2n-1) \leq \frac{j+1}{j+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^j (2n)^\ell \kappa_2(2j, 2n),$$

which are obtained using that $\kappa_2(2j-1, 2n-1) = \kappa_2(2j, 2n)(j+n)/(j+n-1/2) = \kappa_2(2j, 2n-2)(j-n+1)/(j-n+1/2)$. \square

Lemma 5.4. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{n=1}^j (2n)^2 \tau(2j, 2n) &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^j (2n-1)^2 \tau(2j-1, 2n-1) = 2j, \\ 2 \sum_{n=1}^j (2n)^4 \tau(2j, 2n) &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^j (2n-1)^4 \tau(2j-1, 2n-1) = 4j(3j-1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $\ell \geq 0$ and $j \geq 1$, we define

$$p_{2\ell}(j) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^j (2n)^{2\ell} \tau(2j, 2n) = 2^{-2j+1} \sum_{n=1}^j \binom{2j}{j+n} (2n)^{2\ell}.$$

Using that for $n \leq j-1$, we have $\binom{2(j+1)}{j+1+n} = \binom{2j}{j+n-1} + 2\binom{2j}{j+n} + \binom{2j}{j+n+1}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} p_{2\ell}(j+1) &= 2^{-2j-1} \left[\sum_{n=0}^j \binom{2j}{j+n} (2(n+1))^{2\ell} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^j \binom{2j}{j+n} (2n)^{2\ell} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=2}^j \binom{2j}{j+n} (2(n-1))^{2\ell} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

For $\ell = 0$, (18) simplifies to

$$p_0(j+1) = p_0(j) - 2^{-2j-1} \left[\binom{2j}{j+1} - \binom{2j}{j} \right].$$

By induction, it follows that $p_0(j) = 1 - 2^{-2j} \binom{2j}{j}$. For $\ell \geq 1$, (18) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} p_{2\ell}(j+1) &= \frac{1}{2} p_{2\ell}(j) + 2^{-2j-1} \sum_{n=1}^j \binom{2j}{j+n} [(2(n+1))^{2\ell} + (2(n-1))^{2\ell}] \\ &\quad + 2^{-2j-1} \binom{2j}{j} 2^{2\ell} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} p_{2\ell}(j) + 2^{-2j} \sum_{n=1}^j \binom{2j}{j+n} \sum_{\substack{t=0 \\ t \text{ even}}}^{2\ell} \binom{2\ell}{t} 2^{2\ell-t} (2n)^t + 2^{-2j-1} \binom{2j}{j} 2^{2\ell} \\ &= p_{2\ell}(j) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{t=2 \\ t \text{ even}}}^{2\ell-2} \binom{2\ell}{t} 2^{2\ell-t} p_t(j) + 2^{2\ell-1} \end{aligned}$$

using the formula for $p_0(j)$. For $\ell = 1$, the formula simplifies to $p_2(j+1) = p_2(j) + 2$, which yields $p_2(j) = 2j$ using that $p_2(1) = 2$. For $\ell = 2$, the claim follows by induction using the result for $\ell = 1$. The statements concerning sums over odd integers can be shown analogously. \square

References

- R. K. Beatson and W. zu Castell. Dimension hopping and families of strictly positive definite zonal basis functions on spheres. *Preprint, arXiv: 1510. 08658*, 2015.
- D. Chen, V. A. Menegatto, and X. Sun. A necessary and sufficient condition for strictly positive definite functions on spheres. *Proc. Amer. Mat. Soc.*, 131:2733–2740, 2003.
- D. J. Daley and E. Porcu. Dimension walks and Schoenberg spectral measures. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 142:1813–1824, 2014.

- DLMF. NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, 2015. URL <http://dlmf.nist.gov>. Release 1.0.10 of 2015-08-07.
- G. Gaspari and S. E. Cohn. Construction of correlation functions in two and three dimensions. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 125:723–757, 1999.
- T. Gneiting. On the derivatives of radial positive definite functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 236:86–93, 1999.
- T. Gneiting. Strictly and non-strictly positive definite functions on spheres. *Bernoulli*, 19:1327–1349, 2013.
- G. Matheron. *Les Variables Régionalisées et Leur Estimation*. Masson et Cie, Paris, 1965.
- G. Matheron. Quelques aspects de la montée. Note Géostatistique 120, Centre de Géostatistique, Fontainebleau, France, 1972.
- V. A. Menegatto. Strictly positive definite kernels on the Hilbert sphere. *Appl. Anal.*, 55:91–101, 1994.
- V. A. Menegatto, C. P. Oliveira, and A. P. Peron. Strictly positive definite kernels on subsets of the complex plane. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 51:1233–1250, 2006.
- I. J. Schoenberg. Metric spaces and completely monotone functions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 39:811–841, 1938.
- I. J. Schoenberg. Positive definite functions on spheres. *Duke Math. J.*, 9:96–108, 1942.
- H. Wendland. Piecewise polynomial, positive definite and compactly supported radial functions of minimal degree. *Adv. Computat. Math.*, 4:389–396, 1995.
- M. I. Yadrenko. *Spectral Theory of Random Fields*. Optimization Software, New York, 1983.
- A. M. Yaglom. *Correlation theory of stationary and related random functions*. Springer, New York, 1987.
- J. F. Ziegel. Convolution roots and differentiability of isotropic positive definite functions on spheres. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 142:2063–2077, 2014.